Surveying Reflectors -White Paper Characteristics and Influences



- when it has to be **right** 



March 2009

Junyu Mao and Daniel Nindl Leica Geosystems AG Heerbrugg, Switzerland

### Surveying Reflectors -Characteristics and Influences

Daniel Nindl and Junyu Mao

### Abstract

This paper presents an overview of the different factors regarding surveying reflectors that should be considered by the surveyor in order to ensure the highest quality surveys. First, the properties and differences between round prisms and 360°reflectors are explained. We will discuss the assembly of the reflectors and why they have different reflector constants. As well, we discuss the typical applications for each of the reflectors. Secondly we'll show which properties have an influence on angle and distance measurements, e.g. the Anti-reflex coating. And last, we will point out what influences remain in the hands of the surveyor, relying on how well setup the survey instrument is and the use of a high quality tribrach and tripod.

#### Introduction

Surveying targets - surveying reflectors in particular are important accessories for various applications in surveying. Widely accepted as reliable accessories, surveyors normally do not consider the influence which surveying reflectors have on measurements. However, obtaining a certain level of accuracy and reliability requires the consideration of all possible effects on the measurements. A high emphasis is usually put on specifications and accuracy of the total station. Too often the role of accessories is not given enough thought towards the intended application and the subsequent results. Various applications require 3D coordinate qualities only in the range of centimeters. But other tasks demand much higher accuracies, like machine alignments or deformation measurements of sensitive objects. For such tasks, an in-depth analysis of the influence and treatment of potential error sources is mandatory.

This paper summarizes the key factors relative to surveying reflectors that can influence the measurements - distance as well as angular measurements. Centring accuracy and the geometrical alignment to the instruments line of sight are two examples that may have a crucial effect on the survey results. Ignoring these key factors normally leads to a decrease of the measurement quality. All Leica Geosystems surveying reflectors consider these important factors. Based on sophisticated production techniques, strict assembly and quality control, Leica Geosystems reflectors are of the highest quality.

Figure 1 shows the three main phases of an electronic distance measurement - the generation of the signal, the time of flight towards the reflector through the atmosphere and the signal reflection. It is an important fact that the emitted EDM signal must be reflected back to the EDM receiver. This is the role of the reflector. Its importance to the measurement path is further discussed below.



Figure 1 – Different stages of an electronic distance measurement.

This White paper is subdivided in three main sections:

- Reflector Characteristics focusing on reflector geometry and reflector constants
- Signal Creation and Signal Path describing the emission and spread of the EDM signal
- Signal Reflection Influencing Factors include: centring accuracy, beam deviation caused by the reflector, reflex- and antireflex-coating for particular wavelength', glass quality and ultimately the alignment to the instruments line of sight

### **Reflector Characteristics**

There are two main reflector properties that are not subjected to a measure of quality. The first is the reflector type and its general geometry (a) - is it a 360°-reflector that reflects measurement signals from all directions or does it require being aligned with the instruments line of sight, such as the round prism. Second main reflector property is the **reflector**  **constant**. (b) The reflector constant is a given property of the particular model - it defines the relation of the distance measurement to the

Different applications require different reflector models - from high accuracy round, omni directional 360° or even the small size targets these properties are deciding factors for the purchase.

Model:	GPH1P	GPR121	GPR1+GPH1	Mini GMP101	GRZ122	GMP111
Image:						
Material:	Metal	Metal	Plastic	Metal	Metal	 Metal
Centring Accuracy:	0,3 mm	1,0 mm	2,0 mm	1,0 mm	2,0 mm	2,0 mm
Reflector Constant	0	0	0	+17,5mm	+23,1 mm	+30 mm

Figure 2 – A range of Leica Geosystems surveying reflectors.

mechanical reference point of the reflector (holder). In principal the reflector constant is constant and as long as it is considered correctly no influence on the measurements can be expected. The reflector geometry is purely dependent on which model has been chosen.

# **Reflector Geometry – Round Prisms**

Typically most surveying tasks are completed using round prisms (cf. Figure 3). High precision measurements that require tight specifications usually are provided by round prisms. 360° reflectors have only been developed in more recent times (specifically for robotic use). Until now, the standard for surveying measurements is still the round prism. Automated instruments are a more recent development. Therefore we can also say many surveyors still do not use motorized instruments and the round prism with its directional reflection fulfills the measurement task. (cf. Figure 10). A round prism consists of taking a triple-prism glass assembly and grinding down the 3 corners to fit correctly within the circular housing (cf. Figure 3).

Figure 3 - Round Prism consisting of a circular housing and glass insert; shown from different perspectives.

In Leica Geosystems' portfolio a model for every particular application is available (cf. Figure 2) - just select what is required for the measuring task.

All Leica Geosystems standard round prisms and holders (GPH1P, GPR121, GPR1, and GPH1) have the same diameter of 62mm. This dimension is used to ensure efficient use of the receiver optics (the prism diameter is adjusted relative to the telescope diameter incl. tolerances). This is referred to as the signal reflection capacity of the prism. Figure 3 shows a round prism (GPR1 + GPH1-holder) disassembled from different perspectives.

Since EDM's have been used, Leica Geosystems offers various round prisms to satisfy the specifications of our customer needs. Fixed installations for precise monitoring purposes to the classical topographic surveys are conducted with Leica Geosystems reflectors. They have become the standard for highly reliable and accurate surveying targets.



# Prism Geometry – 360° Reflectors

State of the art total stations like the Leica Geosystems TPS1200+ series utilize automated target recognition (ATR) and automated lock technology. This technology is best used with a prism that works omni directional - to allow a higher level of convenience for the operator at the pole by avoiding the need to constantly align the prism to the instrument. In principal the 360°-prism has the same functionality as a round prism - reflecting the incoming EDM signal towards the instruments EDM receiver optics. However, it consists of six tripleprism-glass bodies, tightly assembled (patented by Leica Geosystems – U.S. patent number: 6,123,427); each is comparable to a single round prism but with smaller dimensions and with just slightly grounded corners (cf. Figure. 4 and 5).

A continuous and permanent reflection of the EDM signal is important to fully realize the benefits of working with robotics. As in one man mode the operator at the pole is moving around relatively quickly and should concentrate on the points being measured and not permanently aligning the prism to the instrument.



**Figure 4** – One of Leica Geosystems 360°-reflectors (GRZ122).

This is accomplished by using one of the following Leica Geosystems 360° reflectors:

- GRZ4 Classical 360°-reflector
- GRZ122 360°-reflector with additional thread (for mounting of GNSS Antennas)
- GRZ101 360°-minireflector for close range use
- MPR121 360°-reflector ruggedized for machine control applications (reinforced center shaft)

Disadvantages of 360°-reflectors are the relatively high weight and large size. However the higher

increase in productivity with robotic surveys (incl. ATR or Lock mode on Leica Geosystems TPS1200+ series) when used in one-man mode, together with its capability to support a Leica SmartAntenna, makes it an indispensible accessory for efficient work.

Using Leica Geosystems instruments together with Leica Geosystems 360° reflectors ensures the best measurement performance – the position accuracy is typically 2mm or better - and a high ease of use for the particular surveying task.



Figure 5 - Six triple-prism glass assembly.

# **Reflector Constants**

Whenever measurements to reflectors are made, independent of a distance- or angle-measurement, they need to be referenced between two points – the standing axis of the instrument and the target point). Therefore its important to consider the mechanical design of the reflector body, the housing and the mounting stud, in order to guarantee a welldefined reference of the reflector center (reflectorstanding axis) to the designated point (the second point is normally represented through the trunnion or center axis of the instrument).

#### Definition of reflector constant:

Prisms are still mainly made of glass. Glass has a different refraction index compared to air – the medium wherein measurement signals normally spread out. The propagation velocity of an electromagnetic wave as it enters a glass body is decelerated thereby the actual measured distance is extended. For all light beams perpendicular to the prism front, the length of the optical path (cf. Figure 6) accumulates to:

 $W = n \cdot d$ 

where

- *d* distance from the front surface of the prism to the corner point of the triple prism (cf. Figure 6)
- *n* index of refraction of the glass body
- W is the distance from the prism front surface to the theoretical reversal point S<sub>o</sub>

(1)

**d** is equal to the geometrical distance from the front surface to the corner point of the prism. **W** is defined by the distance between the theoretical reversal point **S**<sub>0</sub> to the prism front (cf. Figure 6 The reflector standing axis is located in front of the theoretical reversal point **S**<sub>0</sub>. To relate the measurements to the standing axis (with distance **e** to the front face) the particular prism constant **K**<sub>R</sub> is applied. Other manufactures are normally using the following definition:

$$K_R = e - n \cdot d \tag{2}$$

where

 $K_R$  prism constant definition of other manufacturers (not used by Leica Geosystems)

e distance from center-symmetric point to the front face

Based on the definition mentioned above, the prism constant for a Leica Geosystems standard prism is  $K_{R}$ = -34,4mm. Leica Geosystems defines this as  $K_{Leica}$ = 0mm. It is important to distinguish the difference between these two definitions. Distance meters in Leica Geosystems total stations consider this offset.

#### Location of the prism standing axis:

EDM-measurements must be referenced to the reflector standing axis. Leica Geosystems mounts the reflectors in such a way to ensure a minimal effect to the angle- and distance measurement, in case the reflectors are not aligned perpendicular to the line of sight of the instrument. The reflector standing axis coincidences with the central-symmetric point (or virtual prism center) of the prism glass (cf. Figure 6). Referring to Leica standard reflectors, e.g. GPH1P and GPR121, the reflectors standing axis lies in front of the geometrical prism center (the back corner of the glass cube) - but is put into the apparent prism center. Thereby the emerging distance between the true center and the apparent center (if the aiming direction is not perpendicular to the front surface) is minimized. This design has been especially chosen in order to provide an angle-independent definition of K, for Leica Geosystems reflectors.

Consequently a misaligned prism has a minimized influence on the angular and distance measurements. In case that the light (wave) does not hit the front surface perpendicular but under the angle  $\alpha$ , the beam path is further extended and thereby a distance measurement error  $\Delta d$  (= $\Delta AC$ ) is created. It is possible to describe this effect with the following formula:

$$\Delta d = e \cdot (1 - \cos \alpha) - d \cdot \left(n - \sqrt{n^2 - \sin^2 \alpha}\right) (3)$$

where

α incident angle of the line of sight referring to front
surface of the prism

E.g. the outcome of a reflector with the dimensions **e** = 40mm, **d** = 60mm and **n** = 1.5 is a prism constant of  $K_{R}$  = -50 mm. Based on this number adding an angle of 30° (deviation of the collimation axis) a distance error of  $\Delta d$  = 0.1 mm can be realized [cf. Joeckel/Stober, 1999].

A distance error from an inaccurate alignment of the prism reflector is normally negligible. However, a proper (accurate) alignment is still highly recommended in order to achieve a maximum degree of efficiency of the reflector surface reflecting the incoming EDM-signal.

Furthermore prism constants are dependent on the wavelength of the EDM-signal because of varying refraction indices of the prism glass according to different wavelengths.

By employing high quality glass and precise assembly of the prism, along with an accurate determination of the refractive index n Leica Geosystems ensures highly reliable prism constants and negligible variations over time for all prism models.



Figure 6 - Cross section through a triple prism.

#### Signal Creation and Signal Path

At the start of a distance measurement the instrument emits a laser beam that's performance is, due to the general electronic design, exposed to the environmental conditions during the distance measurement. However, any limitations caused during the signal creation or during the travel time of the signal influence the amount (intensity) of light arriving at the prism and are not factors influenced from the particular surveying prism used. Based on that information, we now explain this in more detail to have an understanding of how much signal is actually available for retro-reflection at the prism.

The range of distance measurements is highly dependent on the energy available from the laser source. Through the EDM sensor, the emitted laser beam is subjected to several other effects: principally the atmosphere. As an adequate media for the emission of infrared (or visible) signals, however the transmissibility is reduced through the absorption from dust, air molecules and water drops – the so called extinction. Secondly, the EDM-signal must be precisely collimated – to minimize the remaining divergence angle (cf. Figure 7):



**Figure 7:** Example of beam divergence after emission from the telescope.

E.g. an angle  $\varphi$  = 5' (average for a modern instrument) produces a footprint of 41,5 m<sup>2</sup> in a distance at 5km.

A given prism (e.g.  $\varnothing$  5cm) provides a reflection surface of 0,002  $m^2$  – that represents 1/20000 of the signal emitted. In turn just a fraction of this amount is received by the EDM-optics. But it is important to understand that this reflection surface is the optimum to reflecting the EDM signal. A bigger prism would not increase the distance measurement range. Why? The receiver optics can only process the reflected signal within a given diameter - a bigger prism would certainly contribute to the amount of signal returned but most of the EDM signal would just pass by the instrument. But using several reflectors (preferably of the same size/model) would increase the amount of usable signal returned and subsequently the distance measurement range would increase as well.

Using a measurement setup consisting of Leica Geosystems components ensures that signal power, emitter optics and prism design match each other and provide the highest performance. Thus the measurement specifications are easily met.

### Signal Reflection -Influencing Factors

After the signal creation (by the EDM) and the travel time of the signal (through the atmosphere) the measurement signal arrives at the surveying prism. Now the signal should be reflected towards the instrument. The factors relating to influencing reflections from surveying reflectors are further described here.

A measurement setup is as accurate as the surveyors care to properly set the instrument over a point, but other parameters described below are productdependent.

Within a measurement setup consisting of Leica Geosystems instruments and accessories, it is ensured that signal power, emitter optics and prism design match each other and yield the highest performance.

#### **Centring Accuracy**

This is the measurement for the precision relating the optical center point of the prism coinciding with the vertical axis of the prism holder. It is described by the following formula:

$$\sigma_{3D} = \left( \sqrt{\sigma_{cross}^2 + \sigma_{vertical}^2 + \sigma_{along}^2} \right) \tag{4}$$

where

 $\sigma_{\rm cross}$  Stndev. across the instrument's line of sight

 $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle vertical}$  Stndev. vertically relating to the instrument's line of sight

 $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle along}$  Stndev. along the instrument's line of sight

It is important to know that this is not yet the measurement that defines the general centring accuracy over a given control point. Therefore the tribrach together with the tripod play an important role. Also to be considered are the measuring accuracies of the selected prism, as example Leica Geosystems GPH1P model with 0.3mm or the 360°-prism GRZ122 with 2.0mm.

The mechanical design of Leica Geosystems' reflectors also considers the minimizing of mechanical wear in order to provide a long lifecycle, meeting customer's expectations of quality products.

#### **Beam Deviation**

The grinding of a prism glass plays a major role when reflecting signals. The more accurate the glass is ground (corner angles and surfaces), the better the signal will be returned in the same direction and the better its intensity.

The deviation between incoming and outgoing beams has a critical influence on the measurement range (cf. Figure 8). The signal returned goes along a deviant angle  $\alpha$ . Figure 9 shows a test measurement of the beam deviation for a round prism taken with an interferometer.



**Figure 8** – Deviation of the reflected signal after leaving the prism towards the instrument (deviation angle  $\alpha$  compared to the direction of the incoming signal).

The distance measurement is based on the detection of the phase difference (or time of flight) between incoming and outgoing signals. Normally surveying reflectors have a beam deviation of a few arc seconds. The check whether the beam deviation falls below the threshold of one arc second is done after its assembly. Every single prism is certified. Referring to the example shown in figure 9 the star-shaped pattern representing a slightly higher deviation than the average is caused by the prism edges. The round prism tested above has a maximum deviation of 0.8 arc seconds. That means, in every sixth of the prism glass body the direction of the incoming beam differs less than 0.8 arc seconds compared to the outgoing beam. However, if the glass edges are excluded then the average values are far below one arc second.



**Figure 9** - Interferometer measurement to determine phase in homogeneity (how well polished is the particular prism) of reflected beams.

### **Reflex Coating**

The degree of reflection is defined as the ability of a material – in this particular case - to reflect visible & infrared radiation (EDM wavelength` may vary between different manufacturers). This depends on the material itself as well as on the surface quality. Figure 9 shows actually a well polished example. Leica Geosystems' reflectors are coated with copper (cf. Figure 11). Its degree of reflection is higher than 75%, it is highly robust and not corroding (encapsulated with black epoxy).



**Figure 10** - Beam path in a triple prism showing the incoming and outgoing beam.

This guarantees a long lifecycle of the prism glass body itself. Various other reflectors on the market have no such coating. This could affect EDM performance by up to 30%. The Leica Geosystems TPS Series distance measurement signal varies between 658nm and 850nm (e.g. the TCA2003 IR EDM module operates on a frequency basis of 850nm whereas TPS1200+ operates with 660nm).



**Figure 11** – Reflectivity of copper reflex coating dependent on the signal (EDM) wavelength.

According to the reflectivity chart shown in figure 11 it can be seen for the wavelengths of Leica Geosystems' EDM, the reflectivity values are within the entire range (660nm-850nm) providing the highest reflectivity.

This is one aspect that guarantees that all Leica Geosystems reflector models are verified to fit with our total stations.

### **Anti-Reflex Coating**

During a distance measurement a large percentage of the signal is returned through the prism as shown in figure 10 and 12, but beside the desired reflection through the prism body the emitted EDM signal will also be reflected (typically 4%) by the front surface. This part of the returned signal will disturb the desired signal because its travel time is shorter as it does not penetrate into the glass body of the prism.

This phenomenon can happen in close ranges assuming a very accurate alignment (the instruments line of sight must perpendicularly intersect the prism front surface). In such a case, shorter distances are determined. To avoid this phenomenon a special layer is coated to the front surface of the particular prism glass. Figure 12 shows the beam path of the incoming signal. The expected signal is shown as red line (a). It has the strongest intensity of about 70%.

bandwith (660nm-850nm) used by Leica Geosystems.



**Figure 13** – Reflectivity of Anti-Reflex coating dependent on the signal (EDM) wavelength.

When using a prism without anti-reflex coating (or a coating adjusted to the wrong wavelength) distance measurement errors up to 3mm can occur.



**Figure 12** – Different reflections at the prism caused by the front surface, the inner (front) surface or the 'normal' way through the back surfaces.

The signal reflected at the inner surface is indicated in blue (b) (about 4%). The reflection caused at the front surface is shown in magenta (c) (less than 2%). Reflectors manufactured other than by Leica Geosystems normally have no coating. But it should also be considered that coated reflectors sold by different manufacturers (in case) also may reduce the accuracy of the measurements – this depends on the basis of the EDM wavelength considered in the design because anti-reflective coatings must match wavelength' of used EDM sensors. Figure 14 shows this influence on a distance measurement at 20m. To observe this effect, the prism's front surface must be aligned perpendicular to the instrument's line of sight.

The design of the anti-reflex coating for certain Leica Geosystems round prisms is shown in figure 13. The reflection lies below 0.5% for the overall signal



Figure 14 - Anti-Reflex coating influence on distance measurement.

With Leica Geosystems coated reflectors the detection of the right EDM signal is 100% ensured.

# **Glass Quality**

Leica Geosystems reflectors are made from the highest quality glass. The following characteristics ensure best performance for distance measurements and target recognition:

- High homogeneity of refraction index within entire glass bodies
- Minimized tolerances for the determination of refraction index and Abbe coefficient
- Minimized number of streaks
- High acid resistivity
- Minimized number of air bubbles
- High robustness against different climate conditions

These characteristics guarantee superior durability and resistance against environmental influences.

### Alignment/Setup

Round prisms require being aligned with the line of sight of the instrument within a certain tolerance. For high precision measurements this dependency is clearly shown with figure 17. An acceptable deviation would be  $\pm 10^{\circ}.$ 

When pointing to a prism that is not perpendicular to the line of sight of the instrument, it is not easily possible to point to the actual prism center. This is a result of the refractive index between air and glass being different, thus adding possible further error in the measurement of the horizontal direction.



**Figure 15** – Example for refraction in daily life – the same effect appears when doing tilted measurements to reflectors – a pencil in a water glass observed in different viewing angles

Looking at the stylus in figure 15 demonstrates the effect described – the recognition of the stylus in the left image is different because the refractive index differs from air to water. But observing the prism perpendicular to its front surface allows the true recognition of its position – this effect should be shown in the right image of figure 15.

However, Leica Geosystems offers gun sights to ensure an accurate alignment with the instruments line of sight (cf. Figure 16). The operator can do a quick and easy alignment within seconds, by aiming the prism to the instrument.



**Figure 16 -** Leica Geosystems GPR1 round prism with gun sights for alignment

If the prism is not aligned with the instruments collimation axis the standing axis of the prism pole does not coincide with the apparent prism center (cf. Figure 18). Subsequently the operator would aim to the apparent prism center. This deficiency is minimized with the special design of Leica Geosystems reflectors. Below 40°, the aiming error would be less than 0.5 mm, however, over 50° it is already higher than 1mm.





For example, Leica Geosystems' latest instrument release the TS/M30 series provides a high accurate angular and distance measurement system. To tap its full potential the reflector setup is certainly worth being well aligned with the instruments line of sight.

At 60° it is already above 2,5 mm.

Because the prism body is symmetrical the effect of vertical misalignment is the same as for the horizontal misalignment.

When using Leica Geosystems' reflectors it is made as easy as possible to achieve a proper alignment but ultimately the responsibility is still in the hands of the surveyor.



Figure 18 - True and apparent recognition of prism centers.

### Genuine Leica vs. Leica Copies

The sections above described several factors contributing to the high quality of original Leica Geosystems' surveying reflectors. Because of their well known quality these Leica Geosystems products are often perceived as quality-defining references on the market.





Hence Leica reflectors are often used as a model for copying. Subsequently several manufacturers have started to make business by flooding the market with cheap prism copies without having a warranted quality standard ensured. The right column in figure 19 shows the necessary steps to make a genuine Leica Geosystems prism. Most of the steps are invisible to the customer, but in compliance with our strong quality management, we guarantee to supply the best products for our customers.

#### Recommendations

The goal of this paper is to provide surveyors with basic knowledge of the less thought about details which a measurement consists of. And for the surveyors who strive for the most precise distance measurement, this paper provides a summary for both the magnitude and effects of the chosen target components that influence the accuracy of the measurement.

To achieve highest measurement accuracy

- Use the same prism types to avoid different centring errors because of different reflectors
- If performing measurements exclusively in close ranges make sure that you use a coated (anti-reflex-coating) model
- Use a prism that fits to your instrument
- Keep the surfaces of your reflector dust free to avoid any reduction of the signal returned

The benefits when using Leica Geosystems surveying reflectors are long lifetime, highest accuracy and highest reliability. Leica Geosystems accessories are adjusted together with Leica Geosystems instruments. Thus we can guarantee best performance and quality of your measurements.

#### Source

This document is based on the diploma thesis named "Analyse und Vergleich von Vermessungsreflektoren" conducted during 2007/08 by Junyu Mao under the guidance of Daniel Nindl (Leica Geosystems AG Heerbrugg) and Volker Schwieger from the Institute for Applications of Geodesy and Engineering (IAGB), University of Stuttgart.

#### References

#### [Joeckel u. Stober 1999]

JOECKEL, Rainer and STOBER, Manfred.: Elektronische Entfernungs- und Richtungsmessung – Wittwer, 1999

#### [Mao 2008]

Mao, Junyu: Analyse und Vergleich von Vermessungsreflektoren – Diploma Thesis, University of Stuttgart, 2008 Whether you want to monitor a bridge or a volcano, survey a skyscraper or a tunnel, stake out a construction site or perform control measurements – you need reliable equipment. With Leica Geosystems original accessories, you can tackle demanding tasks. Our accessories ensure that the specifications of the Leica Geosystems instruments are met. Therefore you can rely on their accuracy, quality and long life. They ensure precise and reliable measurements and that you get the most from your Leica Geosystems instrument.

When it has to be right.

Illustrations, descriptions and technical specifications are not binding and may change. Printed in Switzerland-Copyright Leica Geosystems AG, Heerbrugg, Switzerland, 2010. VII.10 – INT



- when it has to be **right**